

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 JOHN DOE,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SAIGE STAR ROWLEY,

15 Defendant.  
16

Case No. CV 25-1387 FMO (RAOx)

**ORDER DENYING EX PARTE  
APPLICATION**

17 The court has reviewed and considered plaintiff's Ex Parte Application to Strike  
18 Memorandum (Dkt. 29, "Application"). Given that defendant's improperly filed Motion to Dismiss  
19 and Request for Sanctions (Dkt. 25, "Motion") was already stricken for failure to comply with the  
20 Local Rules, (see Dkt. 30), the court concludes that plaintiff's Application seeking to strike the  
21 Motion is moot. Furthermore, the court is not inclined to impose monetary sanctions on defendant  
22 in light of the relevant standard for sanctions under Local Rule 83-7 and defendant's pro se status.  
23 See, e.g., Tiscareno v. Bass, 2011 WL 13213569, \*9 (C.D. Cal. 2011) ("Although Plaintiff has  
24 clearly failed to comply with the Local Rules, as well as the Court's Standing Order, the Court  
25 exercises its inherent discretion and declines to impose sanctions, in part, because Plaintiff is pro  
26 se."); Rivers v. Talkov, 2014 WL 12561582, \*5 (C.D. Cal. 2014) ("[W]hile defendants were careless  
27 and possibly negligent, their actions fall short of the willful, grossly negligent, reckless conduct  
28 necessary to trigger sanctions under Local Rule 83-7.").

1 However, defendant is cautioned that she must comply with the Local Rules and the  
2 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure going forward, as future violations could result in sanctions. See  
3 Local Rule 83-2.2.3 (stating that pro se litigants are bound by the Local Rules and the Federal  
4 Rules of Civil Procedure); Local Rule 83-7 (providing for sanctions if a party's failure to comply is  
5 "willful, grossly negligent, or reckless" or "rises to the level of bad faith and/or a willful disobedience  
6 of a court order"). Defendant is advised that she may contact the pro se clinic for assistance as  
7 needed.

8 **Notice Re: Pro Se Legal Clinic**

9 The Los Angeles Clinic operates by appointment only. You may schedule an appointment  
10 either by calling the Clinic or by using an internet portal. You can call the Clinic at (213) 385-2977,  
11 ext. 270 or you can submit an internet request at the following site:  
12 <http://prose.cacd.uscourts.gov/los-angeles>.

13 Clinic staff can respond to many questions with a telephonic appointment or through your  
14 email account. It may be more convenient to email your questions or schedule a telephonic  
15 appointment. Staff can also schedule you for an in-person appointment at their location in the  
16 Roybal Federal Building and Courthouse, 255 East Temple Street, Suite 170, Los Angeles,  
17 California 90012.

18 \* \* \*

19 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT plaintiff's Application (**Document No. 29**) is **denied**  
20 **as moot**.

21 Dated this 28th day of April, 2025.

22 /s/  
23 Fernando M. Olguin  
24 United States District Judge  
25  
26  
27  
28